

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,882	12/09/2003	James Rohl	279.630US1	6739
21186 7590 11/13/2007 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, PHONG H	
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3724	
		,		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•						
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/731,882	ROHL ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Phong H. Nguyen	3724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. hely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 August 2007</u> .					
•	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 10-12 and 53-66 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 53-56 and 58-63 is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 10-12,57 and 64-66 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	re withdrawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accerding a continuous and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Infor	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/731,882 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 57 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

Claim 57 contains the trademark/trade name Fluorinert[™]. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe
Fluorinert[™] and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/731,882 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 10, 12, 64 and 65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsubota (5,361,660).

Tsubota discloses placing sheet A between punch 3 and die 7, 11; die hole is in the center of element 7, through which punch 3 passes; delivering lubricant via element 21 as shown in figure 1; actuating the punch as shown in figure 2; the element A in figure 2, which is shown passing through elements 7 and 11 is considered to be an electrode layer for a flat capacitor; sheet is aluminum as disclosed in column 4, line 31; aluminum sheets inherently have an aluminum oxide portion due to the sheets exposure to air as evidenced by Frank et al (2,854,074) in column 1, line 27-29; the portions of the aluminum sheet that are exposed to air and have aluminum oxide on them are considered to be distinct portions; the aluminum portion of the sheet is considered to be a distinct aluminum portion; the lubricant is concentrated on the periphery of the die hole where the punch cuts through the aluminum portion as shown in figure 1, and each location about the periphery of the die hole is considered to be a specific location on the periphery of the die hole.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3724

6. Claims 11 and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Tsubota in view of Klint et al (3,288,715), hereafter Klint.

Tsubota discloses everything as noted above, but does not disclose delivering a partially fluorinated fluid, however, Klint teaches delivering a partially fluorinated fluid in column 2, lines 2-4.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to deliver a partially fluorinated fluid in Tsubota as taught by Klint in order to obtain a bright surface on the fabricated aluminum.

7. Claim 57 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Tsubota in view of 3M.

Tsubota discloses everything, but the partially fluorinated fluid is not Fluorinert fluid, however, 3M teaches the advantages of delivering Fluorinert fluid.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to deliver a Fluorinert fluid in Tsubota and Klint as taught by 3M in order to use a lubricant that does not contribute to ground-level smog formation.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 07/12/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues that the modified method of forming an electrode layer for a flat capacitor of Tsubota does not teach the pre-determined location having more lubricant than other locations on the periphery. This argument is not persuasive.

Art Unit: 3724

The vertical surface of the upper section of the die hole 7, which is the pre-determined location, has a greater area than the horizontal surface of the mid-section of the die hole 7, which is the other location. Since the pre-determined location has a greater area, it holds more lubricant. Therefore, the pre-determined location has more lubricant than the other location on the periphery.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phong H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4510. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3724

/Timothy V Eley/ Primary Examiner, A.U. 3724

PN:



November 1, 2007